

2015-2016

Instructional Evaluation System



Calhoun County School District
Ralph Yoder, Superintendent
Vicki Davis. District Contact

Rule 6A-5.030 Form IEST-2015

Effective Date: November 12, 2015



Pam Stewart

Commissioner of Education

State Board of Education

Marva Johnson, Chair
John R. Padget, Vice Chair
Members
Gary Chartrand
Tom Grady
Rebecca Fishman Lipsey
Michael Olenick
Andy Tuck

April 29, 2016

Ralph Yoder, Superintendent Calhoun County School District 20859 Central Avenue E, Rm G-20 Blountstown, Florida 32424-6202

Dear Superintendent Yoder:

Thank you for submitting amendments to your district's Instructional Personnel Evaluation System. Your amendments to the Calhoun County School District's evaluation system for instructional personnel have been approved for 2015-16. The department appreciates your continued leadership in your district and throughout the state for the benefit of all of our students.

At your earliest convenience, please ensure that district staff update your district's website with the 2015-16 revised Instructional Personnel Evaluation System that was approved by the department and send the URL link to DistrictEvalSysEO@fldoe.org.

For questions or concerns, please contact Eileen McDaniel <u>Eileen.McDaniel@fldoe.org</u> (850-245-0562) or Jason Graham <u>Jason.Graham@fldoe.org</u> (850-245-0546).

Sincerely,

Eileen L. McDaniel

ELM/jgd

cc: Vicki Davis, Calhoun County School District

Eileen L. McDaniel

Eileen L. McDaniel
Bureau Chief, Educator Recruitment, Development & Retention

Table of Contents

- 1. Performance of Students
- 2. Instructional Practice
- 3. Other Indicators of Performance
- 4. Summative Evaluation Score
- 5. Additional Requirements
- 6. District Evaluation Procedures
- 7. District Self-Monitoring
- 8. Appendix A Checklist for Approval
- 9. Appendix B Alignment to FEAP
- 10. Appendix C Computing Student Performance Score for Teachers with Assigned a Combination of Scores
- 11. Appendix D Observation Instruments
- 12. Appendix E Summative Evaluation Instruments
- 13. Appendix F Teacher Self-Assessment

PERFORMANCE OF STUDENTS

For all instructional personnel, 40% of their evaluation is based on the performance of student criterion as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)1.

Classroom teachers newly hired by the district will be evaluated once during each semester, for a total of two evaluations during their first year of working in the District. Each evaluation will count 50% of the Final Yearly Evaluation. Student performance measures will count 40% of the each evaluation.

Three years of student performance data will be used for all instructional personnel, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available. If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available will be used. If more than three years of student performance data are used, the years will be specified.

VAM results will be used for classroom teachers whose students are assessed by statewide, standardized assessment. VAM results will comprise 40% of the teacher's evaluation.

Results from locally developed assessment will be used to determine student performance for classroom teachers whose students are not assessed by a statewide, standardized assessment. The results of the local assessments will comprise 40% of the teacher's evaluation.

For teachers assigned a combination of courses that are associated with the statewide, standardized assessment and that are not, the portion of the evaluation that is comprised of the VAM results will be identified. The VAM results are given proportional weight based on the number of students taught. Appendix C

For instructional personnel who are not classroom teachers, VAM and/or locally developed assessment results will be used to determine students performance for the students they are assigned. The results of VAM and/or locally developed assessments will comprise 40% of the teacher's evaluation.

Student Performance Measure:

All instructional personnel will include student performance data for at least three years, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available. If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available must be used.

Student performance measures will count 40% of the teacher's evaluation.

Classroom teachers newly hired by the district will use the STAR Reading and/or STAR Math assessment to measure their students' performance during the fall semester. The principal will work with the teacher to determine which assessment would best indicate the student's performance level. Teachers hired during the 2nd semester will use the same performance measure used by other teachers.

	teachers.			
Grade Level/Subject	Performance Measure(s) for Evaluation Purposes			
	Elementary Schools			
Pre-K teachers	Proficiency on VPK Assessment			
	Student Learning Gain using DIAL-3 for students who do not take the VPK Assessment			
Kindergarten-Second	Proficiency on District developed local assessment in reading and math			
Grade teachers				
Third Grade Teachers	Average of proficiency on FSA ELA and Math			
Fourth Grade				
Self contained	ELA/Math VAM			
Math	Math VAM			
Language Arts	ELA VAM			
Fifth Grade				
Self contained	ELA/Math VAM			
Math	Math VAM			
Language Arts	ELA VAM			
Science	Average of proficiency on FCAT Science			
PE	ELA VAM for their assigned students			
Music	ELA VAM for their assigned students			
Guidance	ELA and/or Math VAM for their assigned students			
Media	ELA VAM for their assigned students			
Curriculum Coach	School-wide ELA and Math VAM			
ESE Inclusion/Resource	ELA and/or Math VAM for their assigned students or			
•	Proficiency on District developed ELA/Math local assessment for their assigned			
	students			
	Middle School			
Math	Math VAM			
Reading	ELA VAM			
Language Arts	ELA VAM			
Science (6 th /7 th)	ELA and Math VAM for their assigned students			
Science (8 th)	Proficiency on FCAT Science			
Social Studies (6 th /8 th)	ELA and Math VAM for their assigned students			
Civics (7 th)	Proficiency on Civics State EOC			
Music	ELA Proficiency for their assigned students			
PE	ELA Proficiency for their assigned students			
Technology	ELA Proficiency for their assigned students			
Guidance	ELA and Math VAM for their assigned students			
Media	School-wide ELA VAM			
Curriculum Coach	School-wide ELA and Math VAM			
ESE Inclusion/Resource	Student Growth on ELA and/or Math FSA for their assigned students.			
,,	,			

	High School
PE	ELA their assigned students
Music	ELA for their assigned students
Guidance	ELA and/or Math VAM for their assigned students
Media	ELA VAM for their assigned students
Curriculum Coach	School-wide ELA and Math VAM
ESE Inclusion/Resource	Student Growth on ELA and/or Math FSA for their assigned students.
Reading	ELA VAM
Journalism	ELA VAM
English I	ELA VAM
English II	ELA VAM
English III	Proficiency on District developed local assessment
English IV	Proficiency on District developed local assessment
Algebra I	Algebra I FSA EOC VAM
Geometry	Proficiency on Geometry State EOC
Algebra II	Proficiency on FSA Algebra II EOC
Other Math Courses	Proficiency on District developed local assessment
World History	Proficiency on District developed World History local assessment
American History	Proficiency on American History State EOC
Economics	Proficiency on District developed Economics local assessment
American Government	Proficiency on District developed American Government local assessment
Foreign Languages	Proficiency on District developed Foreign Language local assessment
Biology	Proficiency on Biology State EOC
Other Science Course	Proficiency on District developed local assessment
CTE Courses	Proficiency on District developed local assessment; Percent of students earning an
	industry certification or proficiency on evaluation instrument as listed on the Technical
	Skills Inventory.

Proficiency Value Score Deter	mination using Percentile Scores
75 th to 99 th percentile- 4 points	50 th to 74 th percentile- 3 points
25 th to 49 th percentile- 2 points	0 to 24 th percentile-1 point
	etermine a Proficiency Value Score (PVS)
	nination using Average Test Score
•	<u> </u>
80 to 100-4 points	70 to 79-3 points
60 to 69-2 points	0 to 59-1 point
Points will be averaged together to det	•
	nination using Achievement Levels
Level 4 or 5- 4 points	Level 3-3 points
Level 2-2 points	Level 1-1 point
	etermine a Proficiency Value Score (PVS)
	ng Gain for DIAL-R
Total Score Increases 6 months-4 points	
Total Score increases 4 to 6 months-3 p	ooints
Total Score increases 2 to 4 points-2 po	ints
Total Score less than 2 months-1 point	
Student Learning Gain	for ESE Inclusion/Resource
Growth of 1 or more levels-4 points	
Maintain at level 2 or above-3 points	
Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient rai	nge OR
Decrease 2 or more levels in proficient	range OR
Decrease 1 level which moves student	from proficient to non-proficient-2 points
Decrease of 2 levels in non-proficient ra	ange OR
Decrease of 3 or move levels in proficie	nt range-1 Point
Student Achievement Ra	te for Industry Certifications
86-100% passing rate of students attem	npting industry certification-4 points
67-85% passing rate of students attempt	
51-66% passing rate of students attempt	
50% or less passing rate of students att	

INSTRUCTIONAL PRACTICE

The Instructional Evaluation System is built upon the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices. The evaluation system uses *The Art and Science of Teaching: A Comprehensive Framework for Effective Instruction* (Robert J. Marzano, ASCD, 2007) as the standard against which effective teaching will be measured and professional goals developed. Dr. Marzano's research provides the district with a framework for instruction based upon sound educational principles and contemporary research in effective educational practices for the purpose of increasing student learning growth by improving the quality of instruction. The system provides an on-going evaluation that fosters continued improvement and opportunity for professional growth. Dr. Marzano provides a rubric that enables both the teacher and administrator to clearly distinguish between performances at each level. Throughout the process, the primary focus of the evaluation will be to increase student learning.

Dr. Marzano divides the art of teaching in four domains: Domain 1: Classroom Strategies; Domain 2: Planning and Preparing; Domain 3: Reflecting on Teaching; Domain 4: Collegiality and Professionalism. A crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Educator Accomplished Practices demonstrates that the district's evaluation system and evaluation instruments contains indicators based upon each of the practices is included in Appendix B.

For all instructional personnel, 55% of the evaluation is based on the instructional practice criterion as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)2., F.S. Teachers are rated by four levels of performance: Highly Effective, Effective, Needs Improvement or Developing (used for instructional personnel in their first 3 years of teaching who need improvement) and Unsatisfactory.

Teachers are divided into categories to help distinguish between new and veteran teachers.

Category I-Beginning teachers in their first year of teaching and teachers in their second and third year of teaching are included in this level. Beginning teachers in their first year of teaching are evaluated once each semester for a total of two evaluations during their first year of teaching in the District. Each evaluation will count 50% of the Final Yearly Evaluation. Teachers in their second and third year of teaching receive one formal observation each year.

Category II- Teachers with four or more years teaching experience are included in this level. These teachers receive a yearly evaluation with at least one formal observation once every three years.

Every teacher, regardless of category, is informally observed at least once each grading period. Principals and administrators are encouraged to spend as much time as possible in the classrooms observing teachers interacting with students. Formal and informal observations are based on with the principal witnesses during the observation.

When completing each section of the observation, the following ratings are used: Innovating (4); Applying (3); Developing (2); Beginning (1); and Not Using (0).

When completing the final evaluation, each domain is weighted.

Domain I	Classroom Strategies and Behaviors	70%
Domain II	Planning and Preparing	15%
Domain III	Reflection and Teaching	5%
Domain IV	Collegiality and Professionalism	10%

Teacher Performance (55% of Final Evaluation)

Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Developing (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)
Status Score of 3.5-4.0	Status Score of 2.5-3.4	Status Score of 1.5-2.4	Status Score of 1.0-1.4
	Category I Teachers	1-3 Years of Service	
At least 65% at Level 4 At least 65% at Level 3 or higher Level 1 or 0		Less than 65% at Level 3 or higher and less than 50% at Level 1 or 0	Greater than or equal to 50% at Level 1 or 0
	Category II Teachers: 4	or More Years of Service	
At least 75% at Level 4 and 0% at Level 1 or 0	At least 75% at Level 3 or higher	Less than 75% at Level 3 or higher and less than 50% at Level 1 or 0	Greater than or equal to 50% at Level 1 or 0

Student Performance (40% of Final Evaluation)

Highly Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Developing (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)
FSA VAM	FSA VAM	FSA VAM	FSA VAM
4.0-3.1	3.0-2.1	2.0-1.1	1.0-0
PVS	PVS	PVS	PVS
4.0-3.50	3.49-2.50	2.49-1.50	1.49-0

SUMMATIVE EVALUATION SCORE

Final Evaluation Score

	Score	Weight	Weighted Score	
Teacher Performanc	e		0.55	
Self Assessment			0.05	
Student Performance			0.40	
			Total Score	
	Over	all Effectiv	eness Level	
4.00-3.50 Highly Effective	3.49-2. Effectiv	ve	2.49-1.50 Needs mprovement	1.49-0 Unsatisfactory

OTHER INDICATORS OF PERFORMANCE

The district teacher evaluation process includes a self-assessment that is calculated into the teacher's Final Yearly Evaluation and will serve as an additional metric for the evaluation. The self-assessment is completed by November 1. The teacher will reflect on the elements from Domain 1: Classroom Strategies and Behaviors and rate themselves accordingly. The self-assessment will produce a raw score that will be converted into an evaluation rating. The self-assessment rating score is used to determine 5% of the final evaluation.

☐Highly Effective (4)	☐ Effective (3)	□Needs Improvement (2)	□Unsatisfactory
			(1)
156 to 136 points	135 to 99 points	98 to 58 points	57 to 0 Points

SUMMATIVE EVALUATION SCORE

Final Evaluation Score

		Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Teacher Performanc	e		0.55	
Self Assessment			0.05	
Student Performance			0.40	
			Total Score	
Overall Effectiveness Level				
4.00-3.50 Highly Effective	3.49-2.	50 /e	2.49-1.50 Needs provement	1.49-0 Unsatisfactory

The summative evaluation forms are included in Appendix E.

Evaluation for Newly Hired Teacher

		Evalua	ation 1		
Sc			re	Weight	Weighted Score
Teacher Performance			0.60		
Student Performance				0.40	
	T	Т	otal Scor	e for Evaluation 1	
4.00-3.50	3.49-2.5	50	2.49-1.50		1.49-0
Highly Effective	Effectiv	⁄e	Need	ds Improvement	Unsatisfactory
		Evalua	ation 2		
		Sco	re	Weight	Weighted Score
Teacher Performance				0.55	
Self Assessment				0.05	
Student Performance				0.40	
		Т	otal Scor	e for Evaluation 2	
4.00-3.50	3.49-2.5	50		2.49-1.50	1.49-0
Highly Effective	Effective		Needs Improvement		Unsatisfactory
Final E	nd of Year Teac	her Evalu	ation Sc	ore Newly Hired T	eacher
	Score			Weight	Weighted Score
Evaluation 1			0.50		_
Evaluation 2	Evaluation 2		0.50		
		Tota	l Score fo	or Final Evaluation	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)		☐ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2) OR ☐ DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching		☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
Overall Final Score of 3.5 – 4.0	Overall Final Score of 2.5 – 3.4		Overa	all Final Score of 1.5 – 2.4	Overall Final Score of 1.0 – 1.4

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The district provides all instructional personnel the opportunity to review their class rosters for accuracy and to correct any mistakes [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)1., F.A.C.] through the use of the Roster Verification Tool.

The individual responsible for supervising the employee will evaluate the employee's performance. In most cases the principal of the school will be responsible for evaluating the performance of teachers. Teachers on special assignment in an area other than a school will be evaluated by their supervisor. An evaluator may consider input from other personnel trained in evaluation practices. Other evaluators may include the assistant principal, peer or mentor teachers, district staff, and administrators from other schools in the district. Trained personnel who observe teachers will review the results of their observation with the principal. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)2., F.A.C.].

All employees subject to an evaluation system are informed of the evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place on a yearly basis. Beginning teachers and teachers new to the district receive a more in-depth training during the teacher orientation meeting. During the initial orientation, instructional personnel receive a printed copy of the assessment instrument, data collection forms, and supporting procedures. Teachers employed after the pre-planning period shall be similarly scheduled for an orientation session as previously described prior to the implementation of such procedures relative to the evaluation of said teacher.

Principals, assistant principals, district staff and mentor/peer teachers have been trained on the evaluation system and understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)3., F.A.C.].

Timely feedback will be provided to the individual being evaluated [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)4., F.A.C.]. Following each formal observation, there will be a post observation conference within 48 hours of the observation. In the post observation conference, the teacher will bring a post observation assessment instrument of the observation. The administrator and teacher will then review and discuss their perspective on the lesson observed.

The performance evaluation results for instructional personnel will be disaggregated by classroom teachers and all other instructional personnel; by school site; and by instructional level. School grades and state and local assessment data will also be reviewed by school and district and compared to the performance evaluation data. These results will be used to determine professional development needs for the individual teacher, school, and district. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)5., F.A.C.]

The district requires teachers who have been evaluated as less than effective to participate in specifically designed professional development offerings designed to address individual needs and weaknesses as required by s. 1012.98(10), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)6., F.A.C.].

All classroom teachers are observed evaluated at least once a year [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)7., F.A.C.]. Classroom teachers newly hired by the district are observed and evaluated at least twice in the first year of teaching in the district pursuant to s. 1012.34(3)(a), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)8., F.A.C.].

Parents will have opportunities to provide input into teacher's performance evaluations as appropriate. Parental input will be received by the school Principal and/or Assistant Principal. Input will be utilized by

the principal as Domains are rated in the observation component of the evaluation. Parents have the opportunity to provide input through school/district surveys, conferences, phone calls, electronic communications, written communications and/or participation on school advisory councils. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)9., F.A.C.]

Special evaluation procedures and criteria are necessary for teachers who are not classroom teachers and are working at the district level. These teaching fields include District Reading Coach, District Technology Coach, Staffing Specialist, Dropout Prevention Specialist and Therapeutic Specialist such as OT/PT, Vision, and Speech/Language Specialist.

The District's peer assistance program helps employees placed on performance probation, newly hired classroom teachers, or employees who request assistance. A peer mentor will be assigned to support these teachers in reflecting on their practice, assessing their skills, and setting goals to facilitate professional growth. Peer mentors will also be trained in the evaluation/observation process to give mentees formative feedback in area of weaknesses. The peer mentor may be scheduled by the principal to conduct a formal observation. The results of these observations will be used as input into Domain 1 of the teacher's evaluation.

Mentors will be selected using the following criteria:

- Must hold a valid Florida Teaching Certificate in the field that will be mentored.
- Must have successfully completed the Clinical Educator training.
- Must have 5 years of successful teaching experience.
- Must have been ranked effective or highly effective on yearly teacher evaluation for 5 consecutive years.
- Must be willing to mentor interns or serve as peer mentor.

Mentor teachers will receive differentiated pay to compensate for their additional duties.

DISTRICT EVALUATION PROCEDURES

In accordance with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., the instructional personnel's school principal and/or supervisor must:

- Submit a written report of the evaluation to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)1., F.A.C.].
- Supply the employee with a copy of their evaluation no later than 10 days after the final evaluation takes place [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)2., F.A.C.].
- Discuss the written evaluation report with the employee.

The employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)4., F.A.C.].

A final evaluation rating of unsatisfactory indicates performance that does not meet the minimum requirements of the position and is not acceptable. Two consecutive annual performance evaluation ratings of unsatisfactory; two annual performance evaluation ratings of unsatisfactory within a 3-year period; three consecutive annual performance evaluation ratings of needs improvement or a combination of needs improvement and unsatisfactory under **F.S.** 1012.34 will be grounds for dismissal.

If an employee who holds a professional service contract as provided in s. 1012.33 is not performing his or her duties in a satisfactory manner, the evaluator shall notify the employee in writing of such determination. The evaluator must make recommendations with respect to specific areas of unsatisfactory performance, and provide assistance in helping to correct deficiencies within a prescribed period of time. The employee will be placed on performance probation for 90 calendar days following the receipt of the notice of unsatisfactory performance to demonstrate corrective action. School holidays and school vacation period are not counted when calculating the 90-calendar-day period. During the 90 calendar days, the employee will be evaluated periodically and apprised of their progress and and must be provided assistance and inservice training opportunities to help correct the noted performance deficiencies. At any time during the 90 calendar days, the employee who holds a professional service contract may request a transfer to another appropriate position with a different supervising administrator; however, if a transfer is granted pursuant to ss. 1012.27(1) and 1012.28(6), it does not extend the period for correcting performance deficiencies.

Within 14 days after the close of the 90 calendar days, the evaluator must evaluate whether the performance deficiencies have been corrected and forward a recommendation to the district school superintendent. Within 14 days after receiving the evaluator's recommendation, the district school superintendent must notify the employee who holds a professional service contract in writing whether the performance deficiencies have been satisfactorily corrected and whether the district school superintendent will recommend that the district school board continue or terminate his or her employment contract. If the employee wishes to contest the district school superintendent's recommendation, the employee must, within 15 days after receipt of the district school superintendent's recommendation, submit a written request for a hearing. The hearing shall be conducted at the district school board's election in accordance with one of the following procedures:

A direct hearing conducted by the district school board within 60 days after receipt of the
written appeal. The hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the provisions of
ss. 120.569 and 120.57. A majority vote of the membership of the district school board shall be
required to sustain the district school superintendent's recommendation. The determination of

- the district school board shall be final as to the sufficiency or insufficiency of the grounds for termination of employment; or
- A hearing conducted by an administrative law judge assigned by the Division of Administrative Hearings of the Department of Management Services. The hearing shall be conducted within 60 days after receipt of the written appeal in accordance with chapter 120. The recommendation of the administrative law judge shall be made to the district school board. A majority vote of the membership of the district school board shall be required to sustain or change the administrative law judge's recommendation. The determination of the district school board shall be final as to the sufficiency or insufficiency of the grounds for termination of employment.

The Superintendent shall notify the Florida Department of Education of instructional personnel who have received two consecutive unsatisfactory annual evaluations and have been given written notice and intent that his or her employment is being terminated or non-renewed.

DISTRICT SELF-MONITORING

The Superintendent, Assistant Superintendent and Principals meet annually review the Instructional Evaluation System to determine compliance with the Florida Statute. The team usually meets in July of each year to evaluate the effectiveness of the system. During the review, the team determines if:

- The evaluator understands of the proper use of evaluation criteria and procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability.
- The evaluator provides necessary and timely feedback to the employees being evaluated.
- The use of evaluation data is used to identify individual professional development.
- The use of evaluation data is used to inform school and district improvement plan.

The team looks at the performance evaluation results from the prior school year for all instructional personnel using the four levels of performance. The performance evaluation results for instructional personnel are disaggregated by classroom teacher and all other instructional personnel; by school site; and by instructional level. School grades and state and local assessment data are also reviewed by school and district and compared to the performance evaluation data. Results of this data analysis are used by individual schools and the district to set school improvement goals and plan for individual, school and district professional development activities.

Changes and revisions to the teacher evaluation system will be recommended. All substantial revisions will be reviewed and approved by the district school board before being used to evaluate teachers.

APPENDIX A – CHECKLIST FOR APPROVAL

Performance of Students

The district has provided and meets the following criteria: For all instructional personnel: ☐ The percentage of the evaluation that is based on the performance of students criterion. ☐ An explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined. At least one-third of the evaluation is based on performance of students. For classroom teachers newly hired by the district: ☐ The student performance measure(s). ☐ Scoring method for each evaluation, including how it is calculated and combined. For all instructional personnel, confirmed the inclusion of student performance: ☐ Data for at least three years, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available. ☐ If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available must be used. ☐ If more than three years of student performance data are used, specified the years that will be used. For classroom teachers of students for courses assessed by statewide, standardized assessments: ☐ Documented that VAM results comprise at least one-third of the evaluation. ☐ For teachers assigned a combination of courses that are associated with the statewide, standardized assessments and that are not, the portion of the evaluation that is comprised of the VAM results is identified, and the VAM results are given proportional weight according to a methodology selected by the district. For all instructional personnel of students for courses not assessed by statewide, standardized assessments: ☐ For classroom teachers, the district-determined student performance measure(s) used for personnel evaluations. ☐ For instructional personnel who are not classroom teachers, the district-

determined student performance measure(s) used for personnel evaluations.

Instructional Practice

The district has provided and meets the following criteria: For all instructional personnel: ☐ The percentage of the evaluation system that is based on the instructional practice criterion. ☐ At least one-third of the evaluation is based on instructional practice. ☐ An explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined. ☐ The district evaluation framework for instructional personnel is based on contemporary research in effective educational practices. For all instructional personnel: ☐ A crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Educator Accomplished Practices demonstrating that the district's evaluation system contains indicators based upon each of the Educator Accomplished Practices. For classroom teachers: ☐ The observation instrument(s) that include indicators based on each of the **Educator Accomplished Practices.** For non-classroom instructional personnel: ☐ The evaluation instrument(s) that include indicators based on each of the **Educator Accomplished Practices.** For all instructional personnel: ☐ Procedures for conducting observations and collecting data and other evidence of instructional practice. **Other Indicators of Performance** The district has provided and meets the following criteria: ☐ Described the additional performance indicators, if any. ☐ The percentage of the final evaluation that is based upon the additional indicators. ☐ The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined. **Summative Evaluation Score** The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

☐ Summative evaluation form(s).

☐ Scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.

		The performance standards used to determine the summative evaluation rating (the four performance levels: highly effective, effective, needs improvement/developing, unsatisfactory).
Additional	Re	<u>quirements</u>
The district	ha	s provided and meets the following criteria:
		Confirmation that the district provides instructional personnel the opportunity to review their class rosters for accuracy and to correct any mistakes.
		Documented that the evaluator is the individual who is responsible for supervising the employee.
		Identified additional positions or persons who provide input toward the evaluation, if any.
Description	of	training programs:
		Processes to ensure that all employees subject to an evaluation system are informed on evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and
		procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place. Processes to ensure that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures.
Documente	ed:	
		Processes for providing timely feedback to the individual being evaluated. Description of how results from the evaluation system will be used for professional development.
		Requirement for participation in specific professional development programs by those who have been evaluated as less than effective.
		All instructional personnel must be evaluated at least once a year.
		All classroom teachers must be observed and evaluated at least once a
		year. Newly hired classroom teachers are observed and evaluated at least twice in the first year of teaching in the district.
For instruct	ion	al personnel:
		Inclusion of opportunities for parents to provide input into performance evaluations when the district determines such input is appropriate.
		Description of the district's criteria for inclusion of parental input.
		Description of manner of inclusion of parental input.
	Ш	Identification of the teaching fields, if any, for which special evaluation procedures and criteria are necessary.
		Description of the district's peer assistance process, if any.

District Evaluation Procedures

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:
 □ That its evaluation procedures comply with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., including: ➤ That the evaluator must submit a written report of the evaluation to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract. ➤ That the evaluator must submit the written report to the employee no later than 10 days after the evaluation takes place. ➤ That the evaluator must discuss the written evaluation report with the employee. ➤ That the employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file. □ That the District's procedures for notification of unsatisfactory performance meet the requirement of s. 1012.34(4), F.S. □ That district evaluation procedures require the district school superintendent to annually notify the Department of any instructional personnel who receives two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and to notify the Department of any instructional personnel who are given written notice by
the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment, as outlined in s. 1012.34, F.S.
District Self-Monitoring The district self-monitoring includes processes to determine the following:
☐ Evaluators' understanding of the proper use of evaluation criteria and
 procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability. Evaluators provide necessary and timely feedback to employees being evaluated.
 Evaluated. Evaluators follow district policies and procedures in the implementation of evaluation system(s).
☐ The use of evaluation data to identify individual professional development.☐ The use of evaluation data to inform school and district improvement plans.

APPENDIX B – ALIGNMENT TO FEAP

Alignment to the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAP)					
Practice	Evaluation Indicators				
1. Instructional Design and Lesson Plant	ning				
Applying concepts from human development and learning theories, the effective educator consistently:					
 a. Aligns instruction with state-adopted standards at the appropriate level of rigor; 	Domain II				
 Sequences lessons and concepts to ensure coherence and required prior knowledge; 	Domain II Domain IRoutine Events 1, 2, 3				
c. Designs instruction for students to achieve mastery;	Domain I—Addressing Content 7, 15, 21				
d. Selects appropriate formative assessments to monitor learning;	Domain IRoutine Events 1, 2, 3				
e. Uses diagnostic student data to plan lessons; and,	Domain II Domain IRoutine Events 2, 3				
f. Develops learning experiences that require students to demonstrate a variety of applicable skills and competencies.	Domain II Domain IAddressing Content 7, 15, 21				
2. The Learning Environment To maintain a student-centered learning environment that is safe, organized, equitable, flexible, inclusive, and collaborative, the effective educator consistently:					
a. Organizes, allocates, and manages the resources of time, space, and attention;	Domain I—Routine Events 4 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 24, 33, 36				
b. Manages individual and class behaviors through a well-planned management system;	Domain I—Routine Events 4 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 24, 33, 34, 35				
c. Conveys high expectations to all students;	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 29, 38, 39, 40, 41				
d. Respects students' cultural linguistic and family background;	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 36, 39				
e. Models clear, acceptable oral and written communication skills;	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 31, 33, 34, 35				
f. Maintains a climate of openness, inquiry, fairness and support;	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 29, 37, 39, 40				
g. Integrates current information and communication technologies;	Domain II Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 32				
h. Adapts the learning environment to accommodate the differing needs and diversity of students; and	Domain II Domain I—Routine Events 5 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 39				
 i. Utilizes current and emerging assistive technologies that enable students to participate in high-quality communication interactions and achieve their educational goals. 	Domain I Domain I—Routine Events 5				

3. Instructional Delivery and Facilitati The effective educator consistently utilizes a deep and comprehensive knowle	
a. Deliver engaging and challenging lessons;	Domain II Domain I—Addressing Content 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21
b. Deepen and enrich students' understanding through content area literacy strategies, verbalization of thought, and application of the subject matter;	Domain I—Addressing Content 22, 23 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32
c. Identify gaps in students' subject matter knowledge;	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot
d. Modify instruction to respond to preconceptions or misconceptions;	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot
e. Relate and integrate the subject matter with other disciplines and life experiences;	Domain II Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 24, 28, 29, 32, 33, 36, 37
f. Employ higher-order questioning techniques;	Domain I—Addressing Content 10, 11, 17, 18, 20 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 3
 g. Apply varied instructional strategies and resources, including appropriate technology, to provide comprehensible instruction, and to teach for student understanding; 	Domain II Domain I—Addressing Content 7, 15, 21
h. Differentiate instruction based on an assessment of student learning needs and recognition of individual differences in students;	Domain I—Addressing Content 7, 15, 21
 i. Support, encourage, and provide immediate and specific feedback to students to promote student achievement; 	Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 24, 37, 41
j. Utilize student feedback to monitor instructional needs and to adjust instruction.	Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 24, 37, 41
4. Assessment	
a. Analyzes and applies data from multiple assessments and measures to diagnose students' learning needs, informs instruction based on those needs, and drives the learning process;	Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3 Domain I—Addressing Content 7, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 40, 41 Domain II Domain III
b. Designs and aligns formative and summative assessments that match learning objectives and lead to mastery;	Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3
c. Uses a variety of assessment tools to monitor student progress, achievement and learning gains;	Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3
 Modifies assessments and testing conditions to accommodate learning styles and varying levels of knowledge; 	Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 24, 26, 28, 36
e. Shares the importance and outcomes of student assessment data with the student and the student's parent/caregiver(s); and,	Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3

5. Continuous Professional Improvement The effective educator consistently:					
a. Designs purposeful professional goals to strengthen the effectiveness of instruction based on students' needs;	Domain II Domain I—Routine Events 1, 2, 3 Domain I—Enacted on the Spot 39, 40 Domain III				
 b. Examines and uses data-informed research to improve instruction and student achievement; 	Domain III				
c. Uses a variety of data, independently, and in collaboration with colleagues, to evaluate learning outcomes, adjust planning and continuously improve the effectiveness of the lessons;	Domain III				
d. Collaborates with the home, school and larger communities to foster communication and to support student learning and continuous improvement;	Domain III				
e. Engages in targeted professional growth opportunities and reflective practices; and,	Domain III				
f. Implements knowledge and skills learned in professional development in the teaching and learning process.	Domain III				
6. Professional Responsibility and Ethical Conduct					
Understanding that educators are held to a high moral standard in a community, the effective educator adheres to the Code of Ethics and the Principles of Professional Conduct of the Education Profession of Florida, pursuant to Rules 6A-10.080 and 6A-10.081, F.A.C., and fulfills the expected obligations to students, the public and the education profession.	Domain IV				

APPENDIX C- COMPUTING STUDENT PERFORMANCE SCORE FOR TEACHERS WITH ASSIGNED A COMBINATION OF SCORES

How to Compute the Student Performance Score for Teachers with more than one Value Added Record

In order to compute the Student Performance Score for teachers with more than one value added record you must determine the percentage of the students in each grade level and multiply that percentage by the points awarded the Teacher for each classification. Points are awarded based on the following classification: Unsatisfactory = 1 point, Needs improvement = 2 points, Effective = 3 points, and Highly Effective = 4 points.

Example #1: Teacher has four Value Added Classifications. One for each of the four grade levels taught. The teacher teaches a total of 72 students. In the following example the teacher will be awarded a Student Performance Score of 2 (Needs Improvement).

Grade	Number	Cla	Classification		Computation	Points Awarded
	of					
	Students					
10	11	Ne	eds Improvement (2 po	oints)	(11/72)*2	0.30
9	11	Highly Effective (4 points)			(11/72)*4	0.61
8	36	Unsatisfactory (1 point)			(36/72)*1	0.50
6	14	Effe	ective (3 points)		(14/72)*3	0.58
Tatal			Total	Doints Awardad	1.99	
			Total Points Awarded			Needs Improvement
Highl	y Effective (4	4)	Effective (3) Needs I		Improvement (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)
	3.1-4.0		2.1-3.0		1.0-2.0	0-1.0

Example #2: Teacher has two Value Added Classifications. One for each of the two grade levels taught. The teacher teaches a total of 65 students. In the following example the teacher will be awarded a Student Performance Score of 3 (Effective).

Grade	Number	Classification			Computation	Points Awarded
	of					
	Students					
9	37	Effective (3 points)			(37/65)*3	1.70
8	28	Nee	ds Improvement (2 po	oints)	(28/65)*2	0.86
	Table				Doints Awarded	2.56
		Total Points Awarded Effective				Effective
Highl	y Effective (4	1)) Effective (3) Needs Improvement (2		Unsatisfactory (1)	
	3.1-4.0		2.1-3.0		1.0-2.0	0-1.0

Example #3: Teacher has only one Value Added Classifications. Therefore, no computation is needed. The teacher will be awarded a Student Performance Score of 3 (Effective).

Grade	Number of	Classification	C	Computation		Points Awarded
	Students					
5	27	Effective (3 points)				3.0
		Tatal Paints Asserted 3.0			3.0	
	Total Points Awarded Effective				Effective	
Highly	Effective (4)	Effective (3)	Needs Improvement (2) Unsatisfactory		nsatisfactory (1)	
	3.1-4.0	2.1-3.0	1.0-2.0 0-1.0		0-1.0	

Example #4: Teacher has two Value Added Classifications and 2 PVS Classification. The VAM scores are from FSA math. The PVS scores are from FCAT Science which at this time the District has chosen to compute a proficiency score. The teacher teaches a total of 151 students. In the following example the teacher will be awarded a Student Performance Score of 2 (Needs Improvement).

Subject	Number of Students	Classification	Classification			Points Awarded
Math	40	Unsatisfactory (VAM) 1	point	(40/151)*1		0.26
09-10						
Math	36	Unsatisfactory (VAM) 1	point	(36/151)*1		0.24
10-11						
Science	39	Needs Improvement (P	VS) 2 points	(39/151)*2		0.51
09-10						
Science	36	Effective (PVS) 3 points		(36/151)*3		0.72
10-11						
						1.73
			Tota	al Points Award	led	Needs
					Improvement	
Highly	Effective (4)	Effective (3) Needs Improvement (2) Ur			nsatisfactory (1)	
3	3.1-4.0	2.1-3.0	1.0-2.0 0-1.0			0-1.0

APPENDIX D-OBSERVATION INSTRUMENTS

Domain I: Classroom Strategies and Behaviors Observation Instrument
Domain II: Planning and Preparing Observation Instrument
Domain III: Reflecting on Teaching and Domain IV: Collegiality and Professionalism Instrument
Domain I: Walkthrough
Planning Conference Structured Interview Form A
Planning Conference Structured Interview Form B

Post Observation Conference

Calhoun County School District Approved 11/12/15
Instructional Evaluation System Template (IEST – 2015)

Calhoun County Schools Domain 1: Classroom Strategies and Behaviors

Name	Date _					
Observer	Time S	tarted _	:AM/PM	Time En	ded: _{Al}	M/PM
Classification: □ Category I □ Category II	Grade/	Subject (Observed	d		
1. Routine Events-Learning Goals & Feedback	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Provides clear learning goals and scales to measure those goals			` '		` '	
Tracks students progress						
Celebrates student success						
Notes						
2. Routine Events-Rules & Procedures	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Establishes Classroom Routines						
Organizes the Physical Layout of the Classroom for Learning						
Notes						
3. Addressing Content-Interacting With New Knowledge	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Identifies Critical Information						
Organizes Students to Interact with New Knowledge						
Previews New Content						
Chunks content into "Digestible Bites"						
Processes of New Content						
Elaborates on New Information						
Records and Representing Knowledge						
Reflects on Learning						
Notes				240		
4. Addressing Content-Practicing & Deepening Knowledge	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Review Content						
Organizes Students to Practice and Deepen Knowledge					-	
Uses Homework						
Examines Similarities and Differences Examines Errors in Reasoning						
Practices Skills, Strategies, Processes						
Revises Knowledge					-	
Notes						
5. Addressing Content-Generating & Testing Hypotheses	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Organizes Students for Cognitively Complex Task						
Engages Students in Cognitively Complex Task						
Provides Resources and Guidance						
Notes						

	Innovating (4)	Applying (3)	Developing (2)	Beginning (1)	Not Using (0)
Target Strategy	Adapts and creates	Engages students	Engages students	Uses strategy	Strategy was called
	new strategies for	in the strategy and	in the strategy with	incorrectly or with	for but not
	unique student	monitors the extent	no significant errors	parts missing.	exhibited.
	needs and	to which it produces	or omissions.		
	situations.	the desired			
		outcomes.			

Calhoun County Schools Domain 1: Classroom Strategies and Behaviors

6. Enacted on the Spot-Student Engagement	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Notices & Reacts When Students Are Not Engaged						
Uses Academic Games	+					
Manages Response Rates During Questioning Uses Physical Movement						
Maintains a Lively Pace						
Demonstrates Intensity and Enthusiasm						
Uses Friendly Controversy	+					
Provides Opportunities for Students to Talk About Themselves						
Presents Unusual or Intriguing Information						
Notes						
7. Enacted on the Spot-Adherence to Rules & Procedures	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Demonstrates "Withitness"						
Applies Consequences						
Acknowledges Adherence to Rules & Procedures						
Notes						
8. Enacted on the Spot-Teacher/Student Relationships	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Understands Student Interest & Background	1,1,	- (-)	_/		110(0)	
Uses behaviors that indicate affection for Students						
Displays Objectivity and Control						
Notes		I	L		<u> </u>	
			- (a)			
9. Enacted on the Spot-High Expectations	I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	NA
Demonstrates Value and Respect for Low-Expectancy Students						
Asks Questions of Low-Expectancy Students						
Probes Incorrect Answers with Low-Expectancy Students						
Notes						
If applicable complete this section by mathematical Deficiencies noted in the following Lesson Segment area(s). 1 \Box					3 🗆 9 🗆	
Comments/Specific Suggestions						
Confinency openile duggestions						
Tally						
Innovating (4) Applying (3) Developing (2) Beginning (1)					Not Usi	na (0)
g (.) , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, \-/		- 	1.1	.10. 031	-5 (°)
Signatures acknowledge the occurrence of the post-observation meeting and receipt of a copy of the observation form by the professional.						
Assessor's Signature			<i>[</i>	Date		
Teacher's Signature			1	Date		

Calhoun County School District Domain II: Planning and Preparing Observation Instrument

Name Date					
Observer		Gr	rade/Subject Taught		
Doman II-Planning and Pr	eparing for lessons				
	to plan and prepare lessor	ns with a deep understandi	ng of content?		
I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	
Organizes lessons within	Organizes lessons within	Scaffolds new	Attempts to perform	Makes no attempt to	
a unit so that students	a unit so that each new	information within a unit	this activity but does	perform this activity.	
move from an	piece of information	but the relationship	not actually complete or	,	
understanding to	clearly builds on the	between content is not	follow through with		
applying the content	previous piece moving	clear.	these attempts.		
through consistent	students from surface		'		
authentic tasks.	level to deeper				
	understanding.				
What does the teacher do	to plan and prepare lessor	ns aligned to state standard	ds?		
I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	
Helps others with this	Ensures all lessons and	Ensures some lessons	Is beginning to align	Makes no attempt to	
activity.	units are aligned to state	and units are aligned to	lessons and units to	perform this activity.	
,	standards.	state standards.	state standards.	,	
Mhat dags the tagsher de			tachualagiae such as inter	activa or digital davisos?	
I(4)	to plan and prepare for th	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	
Identifies the available	Identifies the available	Identifies the available	Attempts to perform	Makes no attempt to	
resources and/or	resources and/or	resources and/or	this activity but does	perform this activity.	
technologies students	technologies that can	technologies that can	not actually complete or	perioriii tiiis activity.	
will use to enhance	enhance student	enhance student	follow through with		
their understanding of	understanding and the	understanding but does	these attempts.		
the content.	manner in which they	not identify the manner	these attempts.		
the content.	will be used.	in which they will be			
	will be used.	used.			
What does the teacher do	to plan and prepare for th		:7		
I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	
Identifies and meets the	Identifies the special	Identifies the special	Attempts to perform	Makes no attempt to	
special needs of students	needs of students and	needs of students but	this activity but does	perform this activity.	
through creative and	the adaptations,	does not articulate the	not actually complete or	perform this detivity.	
<u>innovative</u> adaptations,	accommodations and/or	adaptations,	follow through with		
accommodations and/or	modifications that will be	accommodations and/or	these attempts.		
modifications designed	made to meet those	modifications that will be	these accempts.		
to meet those needs.	needs.	made to meet those			
		needs.			
		Tally			
Innovating (4)	Applying (3)	Developing (2)	Beginning (1)	Not Using (0)	
Signatures acknowledge the occurrence of the post-observation meeting and receipt of a copy of the observation form by the professional.					
Evaluator's Signature Date					
Teacher's Signature			Date		

Calhoun County School District Domain III: Reflecting on Teaching Domain IV: Collegiality and Professionalism Observation Instrument

Name	Date
Observer	Grade/Subject Taught

Observer	Grade/Subject Taught							
Domain III: Reflecting on								
What does the teacher do to evaluate the effectiveness of individual lessons, units or specific instructional techniques?								
I(4)	I(4) A(3)		D(2)		B(1)		NU(0)	
Determines how effective	a	Determines how	Determines how			Attempts to perform	1	Makes no attempt
lesson, unit or specific		effective a lesson	, unit	unit effective a lesson, u		this activity but does	6	to perform this
instructional technique w	as in	or specific instruc	tional			not actually complet	e	activity.
terms of enhancing stude	nt	technique was in		technique was in te	rms	or follow through wi	th	
achievement and consiste		terms of enhanci	ng	of enhancing studer	nt	these attempts.		
identifies causes of succes		student achieven	_	achievement but do		·		
failures and uses analysis	when	attempts to ident	ify	not accurately ident	ify			
making instructional decis		causes of success	-	causes of success or	-			
, 0		and failures		difficulty				
Teacher Evidence						L	l .	
☐The teacher keeps a wr	itten ana	lvsis of his or her e	valuat	ion of individual less	ons. ເ	units and specific classr	room s	strategies
☐The teacher can explain		•				•	•	0
☐The teacher can explain							catego	ories of students
☐The teacher consistent			p	, o.u.o., o.u. o.u. u.e.g. o.	<i>.</i>	Senations on specime		
Notes	,,20							
Notes								
Domain IV-Collegiality an	d Profes	sionalism Promotii	ng a po	ositive environment				
What does the teacher do to promote positive interactions with colleagues?								
I(4)		A(3)		D(2)		B(1)		NU(0)
Interacts with other	Interac	ts with other	with other Interacts with other		Atte	empts to perform	Mak	es no attempt to
colleagues in a positive	colleag			agues in a positive	this	this activity but does		orm this activity.
manner to promote and				ner to promote and	not	actually complete or		•
support student		t student support student		· ·		ow through with		
learning and seeks help				ning but does not		se attempts.		
and mentorship		entorship seek help and		_		·		
regarding classroom		•		torship regarding				
strategies and behaviors	_	_		room strategies				
and helps to extinguish	_	es not help to		does not help to				
negative conversations		ish negative		guish negative				
about other teachers	_			ersations about				
		eachers		r teachers				
What does the teacher do					paren	its?	I	
I(4)		A(3) D(2)			B(1)		NU(0)	
Interacts with students	Interac	ts with students	Interacts with students		Atte	empts to perform	Mak	es no attempt to
and parents in a		rents in a				activity but does		orm this activity.
positive manner to		e manner to positive manner most of			actually complete or	'	,	
foster learning and		earning and the time and does not				ow through with		
promote positive		e positive help to extinguis				se attempts.		
relationships <u>and</u> helps		ships, but does negative conversat		_				
to extinguish negative		p to extinguish	-					
conversations about		e conversations	9					
students and parents.	_	tudents and	parents.					
staucitts and parcitts.	parents							
	Parents	·						

Calhoun County School District Domain III: Reflecting on Teaching Domain IV: Collegiality and Professionalism Observation Instrument

What does the teacher do to adhere to district and school rules and procedures?					
I(4)	A(3)	D(2)	B(1)	NU(0)	
Is aware of district and school rules and procedures and adheres to them without prompting.	Adheres to rules and procedures most of the time.	Adheres to a rule or procedure after being prompted.	Appears to know the rule or procedure, but does not adhere to it.	Makes no attempt to adhere to a rule or procedure after being prompted.	

Tally				
Innovating (4)	Applying (3)	Developing (2)	Beginning (1)	Not Using (0)
otes/Comments				
res, comments				
anatures acknowledge the	occurrence of the nost-obs	servation meeting and receipt	of a conv of the observation	form by the profession
gnatures acknowledge the	e occurrence or the post-obs	servation meeting and receipt	or a copy or the observation i	orni by the profession
voluatoria Signatura			Data	
raluator's Signature			Date	
eacher's Signature			Date	

Calhoun County School District Domain 1: Walkthrough

Name	Domain 1: \	Date						
Observer	 	Time Started	:AM/PM	Time Ended _	 AM/PM			
Routine Events	Addressing Content							
Learning Goals and Feedback	I A D B NU NA	Interacting Wi	ith New Knowl	edge	IADB	NU NA		
☐ Provides Clear Learning Goals & Scales to Mea (provides/reminds students about a specific learning)				nation (tells stude the importance o	•			
☐ Tracks Student Progress (uses formative assess chart their individual & group progress on learning	goal)	☐ Organizing Students to Interact with New Knowledge (organizes students into dyads or triads to discuss small chunks of content)						
 Celebrates Student Success (helps students accelebrate their current status on a learning goal as gain) 	 □ Previewing New Content (uses strategies such as K-W-L, advance organizers, or preview questions) □ Chucking content into "Digestible Bites" (presents content in small 							
3 /	I A D B NU NA			students' level of		l III SIIIdii		
□ Establishes Classroom Routines (reminds students procedure; asks students to restate/explain rules/provides cues/signals when a rule/procedures should be a rule for the students of the state of the students of the stude	 □ Processing of New Content (after each chunk of information, asks student to summarize and clarity what they have experienced) □ Elaborating on New Information (asks explicit questions that require 							
 Organizes the Physical Layout of the Classroo (organizes materials, traffic patterns, and displays learning) 	students to make and defend inferences) Recording and Representing Knowledge (asks students to summarize, take notes, or use nonlinguistic representations)							
Enacted on the Spot		☐ Reflecting of	□ Reflecting on Learning (asks students to reflect on what they understand or what they are still confused about)					
Student Engagement	I A D B NU NA	understand	o. what they ar	o om oomasea ab	····			
☐ Notices & Reacts When Students Are Not Enga classroom to monitor students' level of engageme	•							
☐ Uses Academic Games (uses adaptations of poreengage students to focus their attention on academic Games)	pular games to		Content (begin	owledge ns lesson with a re	I A D B			
☐ Manages Response Rates During Questioning ensure that multiple students respond to questions cards, response chaining, or voting technologies)		previously addressed) Organizing Students to Practice and Deepen Knowledge (organizes students into groups designed to review information or						
□ Uses Physical Movement (uses strategies that require students to move physically) □ Using Homework (uses homework for independent practice or to						or to		
□ Maintains a Lively Pace (slows/quickens the pace of instruction in such a way as to enhance engagement) elaborate on information) □ Examining Similarities and Differences (engages students in								
□ Demonstrates Intensity and Enthusiasm (uses verbal/nonverbal signals to indicate enthusiasm about the content) □ Examining Errors in Reasoning (asks students to examine Information for errors or informal fallacies, propaganda, bias)				5)				
Uses Friendly Controversy (uses techniques that require students to take and defend a position about content) — Practicing Skills, Strategies, Processes (engages students in massed/distributed practice activities)					s in			
(uses techniques that allow students to relate content to their personal lives and interest) Revising Knowledge (asks students to revise entries in notebook clarify and add to previous information)								
□ Presents Unusual or Intriguing Information (provides or encourages the identification or intriguing information about the content) □ Organizing Students for Cognitively Complex Task (organizes								
Adherence to Rules & Procedures	I A D B NU NA		• ,	to facilitate cogniti		,		
☐ Demonstrates "Withitness" (aware of variations that might indicate potential disruptions and attendimmediately)		students in d inquiry tasks	decision-making s, investigation		solving tasks, exp	erimental		
☐ Applies Consequences (applies consequences adherence to rules and procedures consistently at			cific to cognate	Guidance (mak y complex tasks a				
□ Acknowledges Adherence to Rules & Procedu adherence to rules & procedures consistently and				Tally				
Teacher/Student Relationships	I A D B NU NA	Innovating	Applying	Developing	Beginning	Not		
☐ Understands Student Interest & Background (about students and uses that knowledge to engag discussions with students)	•	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	Using (0)		
☐ Uses behaviors that indicate affection for Student and friendly banter appropriately with students)☐ Display Objectivity and Control (behave in the control of the contr	·							
 Displays Objectivity and Control (behaves in w infractions are not taken personally) 	•							
High Expectations	I A D B NU NA	Signature of	Observer					
□ Demonstrates Value and Respect for Low-Experiments of Low-Experiments of Low-Expectancy Students with the same frequency and level of difficulty to A	th ALL students) (asks questions of			Applying(3) D-D Not Using(0) NA		'e		

☐ Probes Incorrect Answers with Low-Expectancy Students

(inquires into incorrect answers with the same depth and rigor to ALL students)

Page 31

Calhoun County Schools Planning Conference Interview Form A

Name of Teacher:	of Teacher: Name of Observer:					
Planning Conference Date:	Observation Date:	Reflection Conference Date:				
Instructions: Please attach your lesson plan, assessments, scoring guides, and/or rubrics to this document. Please be prepared to discuss the following questions in preparation for the planning conference						
Classroom Demographics						
	our classroom (e.g. number	of students, gender, special needs				
Answer:						
Routine Events						
What will you do to estable	lish learning goals, track stu	dent progress and celebrate				
success for this lesson?	g g,	pg				
Answer:						
What will you do to estable	olich or maintain classroom	rules and precedures for this				
What will you do to establish or maintain classroom rules and procedures for this lesson?						
Answer:						
Content						
Content Please consider the following que	octions as appropriate for th	o losson hoing observed				
3. What will you do to help	4. What will you do to					
students effectively	help students pract					
interact with new	new knowledge?	and test hypothesis				
knowledge?		about new				
		knowledge?				
Answer:						

Calhoun County Schools Planning Conference Interview Form A

Enacted on the Spot
6. What will you do to engage students in the lesson?
Answer:
7. What will I do to recognize and acknowledge lack of adherence to classroom rules and procedures?
Answer:
What will I do to establish and maintain effective relationships with students during this lesson?
Answer:
What will I do to communicate high expectations to students within the lesson?
Answer:
10. How will this lesson be organized as part of a cohesive unit?
Answer:

Calhoun County Schools Planning Conference Interview Form B

Name of Teacher:	Name of Observer:				
Planning Conference Date:	Observation Date:	Reflection Conference Date:			
Instructions: Please attach your rubrics to this document. Pleas preparation for the planning con	e be prepared to discu				
Classroom Demographics					
 Briefly describe the stude gender, special needs, et 		(e.g., number of students,			
Answer:					
	_				
Planning and Preparing for Le		2020			
2. How will you scaffold the Please describe:	content within the less	son?			
	ow the content of the le	esson is organized			
	e sequence of instruct	•			
	•	ssons, units or other content			
	s that may impact the				
P					
Answer:					
3. How does this lesson pro	gress within the unit o	ver time?			
Please describe:					
 how lessons within 	the unit progress tow	ard deep understanding and			
transfer of content					
 describe how stude 	ents will make choices	and take initiative			
 how learning will b 	e extended				
Answer:					
Allower.					

Calhoun County Schools Planning Conference Interview Form B

district and the manner in which that content should be sequenced?

4. How will you align this lesson with established content standards identified by the

Please describe:
 important content (scope) identified by the district
 sequence of the content to be taught as identified by the district
Answer:
Planning and Preparing for Use of Resources and Technology
5. How will the resources and materials that you select be used to enhance
students' understanding of the content?
Please describe the resources that will be used:
traditional resources
technology
Answer:
Planning and Preparing for the Special Needs of Students
6. How do you plan to address the special needs of your students to include special
education students, ELL students and students who come from home
environments that offer little support for schooling?
Please describe:
 specific accommodations that will be made
A
Answer:

Calhoun County School District Post Observation Conference

Name of Teacher:	Name of Observer:
Observation Date:	Reflection Conference Date:
Instructions: Please bring student work, assess reflection conference and be prepared to discu	
General Reflection	
Overall, how do you think the lesson went and w	/hy?
Answer:	
Routine Events	
In what ways did students meet or not meet the	learning goals you established for this lesson?
Answer:	
In what ways did your classroom workspace and learning?	d rules and procedures maximize student
Answer:	
Content	
How did the strategies you used to help student new knowledge support student learning?	s deepen and practice their understanding of
Answer:	
How did the strategies you used to help student support student learning?	s generate and develop new knowledge
Answer:	
Enacted on the Spot	
Which techniques for engaging students were m successful?	ost successful? Which techniques were not
Answer:	

APPENDIX E-SUMMATIVE EVALUATION INSTRUMENTS

Final Yearly Teacher Evaluation
Teacher Evaluation for Beginning or Newly Hired Teacher
Category I Teacher Performance Worksheet
Category II Teacher Performance Worksheet

Calhoun County School District Final Yearly Teacher Evaluation

Name		School Year				
School		_ Date				
Classification: □ Category I □	sification: Grade/Subject Taught Grade/Subject Taught					
1. Teacher Performance Sco	re (55% of Final Evaluation Ra	ting)				
Directions: Use the accompan Attach a copy of the spreadsho		the Teacher Performance Score				
Domain 1 Sources of Evidence ☐ Formal Observation ☐ Informal, Announced Obser ☐ Informal Unannounced Obser ☐ Walkthrough ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other:	vation ervation	Evaluator Comments:				
Domain 2 Sources of Evidence ☐ Planning (Pre) Conference ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other:						
Domain 3 Sources of Evidence ☐ Self-Assessment ☐ Professional Development I ☐ Data Analysis ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other:	Plan					
Domain 4 Sources of Evidence Conferences Discussions Artifacts: Other:	e (select all that applies):					
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	☐ DEVELOPING (2)	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)			
3.5 – 4.0	2.5 – 3.4	1.5 – 2.4	1.0 – 1.4			

2. Teacher Self-Assessment (5% of Final Evaluation Rating)					
Attach a copy of the self-asses	ssment				
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	☐ DEVELOPING (2)	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)		
156 to 136 points	135 to 99 points	98 to 58 points	57 to 0 Points		

Calhoun County School District Final Yearly Teacher Evaluation

3. Student Performance Sco	ore (40% of Final Evaluation R	ating)	
Directions: Attach a copy of t	he teacher's VAM or PVS date		
Evaluator Comments:			
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	OR DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
FCAT VAM Score	FCAT VAM Score	FCAT VAM Score	FCAT VAM Score
3.1-4.0	2.1-3.0	1.1-2.0	0-1.0
Proficiency Value Score 3.5-4.0	Proficiency Value Score 2.5-3.0	Proficiency Value Score 1.5-2.0	Proficiency Value Score 0-1.4
3.5-4.0	2.5-3.0	1.5-2.0	0-1.4
	Final Eval	uation Rating	
	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
1. Teacher Performance		0.55	
2. Self Assessment		0.05	
3. Student Performance		0.40	
	I	Total Score	
		T NIFFOC INADDOMENTALLY (2)	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	OR DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
Overall Final Score	Overall Final Score	Overall Final Score	Overall Final Score
3.5 – 4.0	2.5 – 3.4	1.5 – 2.4	1.0 – 1.4
Evaluator's Signature: Evaluator Comments:		Date:	
		Date:luation, but rather acknowledges it has be luation and the response shall become a p	een discussed with the principal.

Evaluation 1

Name		School Year	
School		Date	
Classification: □ Category I □	Category II Contra	act Status: Probationary	
Grade/Subject Taught			-
completed before the end of	the first semester and the seco	lete two evaluations. The first o ond evaluation should be compl s Final End of Year Evaluation.	eted near the end of the
1. Florida's Accomplished Pra Directions: Use the accompar Attach a copy of the spreadsh	lying spreadsheet to compute t	he Teacher Performance Score.	
Domain 1 Sources of Evidence ☐ Formal Observation ☐ Informal, Announced Obse ☐ Informal Unannounced Obse ☐ Walkthrough ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other: ☐ Planning (Pre) Conference ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Domain 3 Sources of Evidence ☐ Self-Assessment ☐ Professional Development ☐ Data Analysis ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	rvation servation e (select all that applies): e (select all that applies): Plan	Evaluator Comments:	
Domain 4 Sources of Evidence ☐ Conferences ☐ Discussions ☐ Artifacts: ☐ Other:	e (select all that applies):		
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	OR DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
3.5 – 4.0	2.5 - 3.4	1.5 – 2.4	1.0 - 1.4

2. Student Performance Score	e-40% of Evaluation		
Directions: Attach a copy of the	ne teacher's PVS data		
Evaluator Comments:			
		☐ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2)	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	OR	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
Dilloner Effective (4)	D LITECHVE (3)	DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3	D ONSAIISI ACTORI (1)
Duoficion en Value Coore	Duoficiones Value Coore	years of teaching	Duofisiones Malue Coore
Proficiency Value Score 3.5-4.0	Proficiency Value Score 2.5-3.0	Proficiency Value Score 1.5-2.0	Proficiency Value Score 0-1.4
3.3 1.0	2.3 3.0	1.3 2.0	0 1.1
	Evaluation	One Rating	
	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
1. Accomplished Practices		0.60	
2. Student Performance		0.40	
		Total Score	
		☐ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2)	
		OR	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	☐ DEVELOPING (2)	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
		for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	
Evaluation 1 Final Score	Evaluation 1 Final Score	Evaluation 1 Final Score	Evaluation 1 Final Score
3.5 – 4.0	2.5 – 3.4	1.5 – 2.4	1.0 – 1.4
Fundamenta de Ciamatama		Deter	
Evaluator's Signature:		Date:	
Evaluator Comments:			
Tanahawa Cimmatuwa		Detail	
Teacher's Signature: Signature of Teacher does not necess	sarily imply gareement with the eval	uation, but rather acknowledges it has be	een discussed with the principal.
The teacher shall have the right to in		uation and the response shall become a p	
her personnel file.			
Teacher Comments:			
reacher comments.			

Evaluation 2

Name			School Year	
School			_ Date	
Classification: □ Category I □	Category II	Contr	act Status: Probationary	
Grade/Subject Taught				_
1. Florida's Accomplished Pra	actices-55% of Evaluation	on		
Directions: Use the accompan Attach a copy of the spreadsh		npute	the Teacher Performance Score	
Domain 1 Sources of Evidence Formal Observation Informal, Announced Observation Informal Unannounced Observation Walkthrough Artifacts: Other: Domain 2 Sources of Evidence Artifacts: Other: Domain 3 Sources of Evidence Self-Assessment Professional Development Data Analysis Artifacts: Other: Other: Domain 4 Sources of Evidence Conferences Discussions Artifacts:	e (select all that applies) Plan (select all that applies) (select all that applies)	- : -	Evaluator Comments:	
Other:			☐ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2)	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)		OR DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
3.5 - 4.0	2.5 - 3.4		1.5 – 2.4	1.0 - 1.4

2. Teacher Self-Assessment-5	% of Evaluation		
Attach a copy of the self-asses	ssment		
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	OR DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
156 to 136 points	135 to 99 points	98 to 58 points	57 to 0 Points
2. Student Performance Score	e-40% of Evaluation		
Directions: Attach a copy of the	ne teacher's VAM or PVS date		
Evaluator Comments:			
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	☐ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2) OR ☐ DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
FCAT VAM Score	FCAT VAM Score	FCAT VAM Score	FCAT VAM Score
3.1-4.0	2.1-3.0	1.1-2.0	0-1.0
Proficiency Value Score 3.5-4.0	Proficiency Value Score 2.5-3.0	Proficiency Value Score 1.5-2.0	Proficiency Value Score 0-1.4
	Evaluation	n Two Rating	
	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
1. Accomplished Practices		0.55	
2. Self-Assessment		0.05	
3. Student Performance		0.40	
		Total Score	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	☐ NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (2) OR ☐ DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
Evaluation 2 Final Score	Evaluation 2 Final Score	Evaluation 2 Final Score	Evaluation 2 Final Score
3.5 – 4.0	2.5 – 3.4	1.5 – 2.4	1.0 – 1.4
Evaluator's Signature:		Date:	
Evaluator Comments:			
Teacher's Signature:		Date:	
		ut rather acknowledges it has been discussed all become a permanent attachment to his or	

Final End of Year Evaluation

Name		School Year	
School			
Classification: □ Category I □	Category II Cont	ract Status: Probationary	
Grade/Subject Taught			_
Final End of Year Teacher Eva	aluation Score for Beginning o	or Newly Hired Teacher	
The final score reflects the ave	erage of the Evaluation 1 and	Evaluation 2	
	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Evaluation 1		0.50	
Evaluation 2		0.50	
		Total Score	
☐ HIGHLY EFFECTIVE (4)	☐ EFFECTIVE (3)	OR DEVELOPING (2) for instructional personnel in the first 3 years of teaching	☐ UNSATISFACTORY (1)
Overall Final Score of 3.5 – 4.0	Overall Final Score of 2.5 – 3.4	Overall Final Score of 1.5 – 2.4	Overall Final Score of 1.0 – 1.4
Signatures			
Signatures			
Evaluator's Signature:		Date:	
Evaluator Comments:			
		Date: uation, but rather acknowledges it has but a long to the second of the response shall become a long to the second of the response shall become a long to the second of the secon	

Calhoun County School District Teacher Evaluation System Category I Teacher Performance Worksheet

Tea	ch	er	N	a	m	ρ.

STATUS SCORE-CATEGORY I Teacher

Directions:

- 1. Using the Domain Forms, count the number of times each scale level has been recorded
- 2. Enter the frequency in the yellow highlighted cells

Frequency	D1	D2	D3	D4
Level 4				
Level 3				
Level 2				
Level 1				
Level 0				
Total Elements Used	-	-	-	-

Percentages	D1	D2	D3	D4
Level 4				
Level 3				
Level 2				
Level 1				
Level 0				
	0%	0%	0%	0%

3. Adjust weights in gray highlighted cells; must add up to 100%

Category I Teachers (View Scale)	D1 D2 D3 [D4
Status Score				
Weight	70% 15% 5%			10%
Weighted Score				
Overall Status Score:	0.00			
Overall Status:	:			

SELF ASSESSMENT RATING

Directions:

4. Enter final Self Assessment rating

Self Assessment Score	Final Rating Scale
Highly Effective (156 to 136 points)	4
Effective (135 to 99 points)	3
Needs Improvement (98 to 58 points)	2
Unsatisfactory (57 to 0 points)	1

Total

100%

Cahoun County School District Teacher Evaluation System CATEGORY II Teacher Performance Worksheet

Teacher Name:	Tea	ch	er	N	a	m	e:
---------------	-----	----	----	---	---	---	----

STATUS SCORE

Directions:

- 1. Using the Domain Forms, count the number of times each scale level has been recorded
- 2. Enter the frequency in the yellow highlighted cells

Frequency	D1	D2	D3	D4
Level 4				
Level 3				
Level 2				
Level 1				
Level 0				
Total Elements Used	-	-	-	-

Percentages	D1	D2	D3	D4
Level 4				
Level 3				
Level 2				
Level 1				
Level 0				
	0%	0%	0%	0%

3. Adjust weights in gray highlighted cells; must add up to 100%

Category II Teachers (View Scale)	D1 D2 D3			D4
Status Score				
Weight	70%	15%	5%	10%
Weighted Score				
Overall Status Score:	0.00			
Overall Status:				

SELF ASSESSMENT RATING

Directions:

4. Enter final Self Assessment rating.

Self Assessment Rating	Final Rating
Highly Effective (156 to 136 points)	4
Effective (135 to 99 points)	3
Needs Improvement (98 to 58 points)	2
Unsatisfactory (57 to 0 points)	1

Total

100%

APPENDIX F

Teacher Self-Assessment

Calhoun County School District Teacher Self-Assessment

Name	Date

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ur Professional Development Plan. nnovating A-Applying D-Developing B-Beginning NU-Not Using				
	ginning	ginning NU-Not Using			
DOMAIN 1	1.40	A (0)	D (0)	D (4)	AUL (O)
1. Learning Goals & Feedback	I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
1.1 Provides a clearly stated learning goal and scales to measure those goals					
1.2 Tracks of student progress					
1.3 Celebrates student success					
Overall Rating					
2. Rules & Procedures	I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
2.2 Establishes classroom routines					
2.3 Organizes the physical layout of the classroom for learning					
Overall Rating	1				
3. Interacting with New Knowledge.	I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
3.1 Identifies critical information					
3.2 Organizes students to interact with new knowledge					
3.3 Engages students in activities that help them link what they already know to the new content					
3.4 Chunks content into "Digestible Bites"					
3.5 Engages student in actively processing new content					
3.6 Engages students in activities to elaborates on new information					
3.7 Engages students in activities that help them reflect on their learning and the learning process					
Overall Rating	J				
4. Practicing & Deepening Knowledge	I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
4.1 Engages students in a brief review of content that highlights the critical information					
4.2 Uses homework to deepen knowledge of content, practice a skill, strategy, or process					
4.3 Helps students examines similarities and differences.					
4.4 Helps students examine errors in reasoning					
4.5 Engages students in practice activities that help them develop fluency					
4.6 Engages students in revision of previous knowledge					
Overall Ratin	ı				
5. Generating & Testing Hypotheses	I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
5.1 Organizes the class in such a way as to facilitate students working on complex tasks					
5.2 Engages students in complex tasks that require them to generate and test hypotheses					
5.3 Acts as resource provider and guide as students engage in cognitively complex tasks					
Overall Rating	.				

Calhoun County School District Teacher Self-Assessment

6. Student Engagement			I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
6.1 Scans the room making note of when students are not engaged and takes overt							
action	inconsequential competition to	maintain etudant					
6.2 Uses academic games and inconsequential competition to maintain student engagement							
6.3 Uses response rate technique	ues to maintain student engage	ment in questions					
6.4 Uses physical movement to	maintain student engagement						
6.5 Uses pacing techniques to r	naintain students' engagement						
6.6 Demonstrates intensity and	enthusiasm for the content in a	variety of ways					
6.7 Uses friendly controversy te	chniques to maintain student er	ngagement					
6.8 Provides students with oppo	ortunities to talk about themselv	es					
6.9 Uses unusual or intriguing ir engagement	nformation about the content to	enhance student					
		Overall Rating					
7. Adherence to Rules & Proc	edures		I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
7.1 Uses behaviors associated with "withitness" to maintain adherence to rules and procedures							
7.2 Applies consequences for not following rules and procedures consistently and fairly							
7.3 Consistently and fairly acknowledges adherence to rules and procedures							
Overall Rating							
8. Teacher/Student Relationships			I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
8.1 Uses students' interests and background to produce a climate of acceptance and							
community 8.2 Uses verbal and nonverbal behavior that indicates caring for students, when							
appropriate							
8.3 Behaves in an objective and	d controlled manner						
		Overall Rating					
9. High Expectations			I (4)	A (3)	D (2)	B (1)	NU (0)
9.1 Exhibits behaviors that dem	onstrate value and respect for le	ow expectancy students					
9.2 Asks questions of low expec	ctancy students as with high exp	pectancy students					
9.3 Probes incorrect answers of	low expectancy students						
		Rating Points					
		Total Points					
□Highly Effective (4)	☐ Effective (3)	□Needs Improveme			Unsatis		
<u>156 to 136 points</u> <u>135 to 99 points</u> <u>98 to 58 points</u>						0 Points	
Using the self-reflection data	above, record those area th	nat you would like to co	nsider	as poss	sible pro	ofessio	nal
growth areas. Possible Growth Areas:							
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							